

钟雨辰, 王斌, 方中平, 徐小忠, 于明坚 (2021). 片段化景观壳斗科植物种子捕食和扩散模式研究. 植物生态学报, 45, 0-0. DOI: 10.17521/cjpe.2020.0079

Zhong YC, Wang B, Fang ZP, Xu XZ, Yu MJ (2021). Seed predation and dispersal pattern of Fagaceae species in a fragmented landscape, eastern China. *Chinese Journal of Plant Ecology*, 45, 0-0. DOI: 10.17521/cjpe.2020.0079

<https://www.plant-ecology.com/CN/10.17521/cjpe.2020.0079>

附录II 千岛湖地区6种壳斗科植物的种子命运(平均值±标准误)

Supplement II Seed fates of six Fagaceae species in the study area of the Thousand Island Lake region (mean ± SE)

物种 Species	原地完好率 IIS	原地取食率 EIS	扩散率 R	扩散后贮藏率 CAR
短柄枹 <i>Quercus serrata</i>	0.067 ± 0.030 ^c	0.117 ± 0.034 ^c	0.817 ± 0.043 ^a	0.094 ± 0.034 ^b
青冈 <i>Cyclobalanopsis glauca</i>	0.036 ± 0.020 ^{bc}	0.106 ± 0.027 ^c	0.858 ± 0.036 ^{ab}	0.105 ± 0.027 ^b
苦槠 <i>Castanopsis sclerophylla</i>	0.006 ± 0.005 ^a	0.056 ± 0.018 ^b	0.939 ± 0.018 ^c	0.083 ± 0.040 ^{ab}
白栎 <i>Quercus fabri</i>	0.014 ± 0.010 ^{ab}	0.108 ± 0.026 ^{bc}	0.878 ± 0.029 ^b	0.060 ± 0.026 ^a
石栎 <i>Lithocarpus glaber</i>	0.031 ± 0.021 ^b	0.003 ± 0.003 ^a	0.967 ± 0.021 ^d	0.232 ± 0.059 ^c
麻栎 <i>Quercus acutissima</i>	0.031 ± 0.023 ^b	0.006 ± 0.004 ^a	0.964 ± 0.026 ^d	0.192 ± 0.062 ^c

不同小写字母表示同一种种子命运物种间差异显著($p < 0.05$)。

Different lowercase letters indicate significant difference at the 0.05 level among different species for the same seed fate. CAR, cached after removal rate; EIS, eaten *in situ* rate; IIS, intact *in situ* rate; R, removed rate.